

1) The cash deposit rate for TKN will be the rate established in the final results of review; 2) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and

3) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be the "all others" rate of 13.48 percent from the LTFV investigation. *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany*, 67 FR 15178 (March 29, 2002).

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: August 1, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-4260 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Dartmouth College, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 05-023. Applicant: Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Technai G² 20 U-TWIN with XL30 ESEM FEG. Manufacturer: FEI

Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 38881, July 6, 2005. Order Date: February 17, 2004.

Docket Number: 05-027. Applicant: Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA 91010. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Quanta 200 ESEM. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 38881, July 6, 2005. Order Date: September 8, 2004.

Docket Number: 05-028. Applicant: University of Wisconsin, Madison, Madison, WI 53706-1544. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Technai 12 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 38881, July 6, 2005. Order Date: October 1, 2004.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States either at the time of order of each instrument OR at the time of receipt of application by U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

Gerald A. Zerdy,
Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. E5-4248 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S.

Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 05-033. Applicant: Seton Hall University, 400 South Orange Avenue, South Orange, NJ 07079. Instrument: Excimer Laser, Model ThinFilmStar. Manufacturer: Tuilaser, AG, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study the pulsed laser deposition of thin films and their subsequent characterization using high dielectric constant oxides and similar materials. It will also be used to investigate pulsed laser deposition as a tool to deposit metal nanoparticle thin films, colossal magnetoresistive materials and polymers.

Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: July 25, 2005.

Gerald A. Zerdy,
Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. E5-4250 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-580-837]

Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From Korea

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 3, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from Korea pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 75 (January 3, 2005). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, as well as inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the CVD order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies